

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,315	07/10/2001	Han-Sen Lee	LEE-8	7401
7	590 06/27/2003			
Curtis L. Harrington Suite 250 6300 State University Drive			EXAMINER	
			LEV, BRUCE ALLEN	
Long Beach, C	A 90815		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
			DATE MAILED: 06/27/2003	DATE MAILED: 06/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SX

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/903,315

Applicant(s)

Lee

Examiner

Bruce A. Lev

Art Unit 3634



		on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	
	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM
		no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
mailing	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within tl	
- If NO	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Any re	e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the	
Status	d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
1) 🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 30, 2	2003
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) X This act	
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	
Disposi	ition of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u>	is/are rejected.
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	ation Papers	
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)💢		\mathbb{Z} , 2002 is: a) \mathbb{Z} approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	
	3. \square Copies of the certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been received in this National Stage
*S	application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a)[\supset The translation of the foreign language provisions	al application has been received.
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachm	nent(s)	PRIMARY EXAMINER
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (P10-413) Paper No(s).
_	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/903,315 Page 2

Art Unit: 3634

DETAILED ACTION

Claims within an Appeal Brief

1. Claims within the Appeal Brief filed May 30, 2003 need to be "double-spaced".

Re-opening Prosecution

2. The *finality* of the previous office action (of November 18, 2002) is hereby *withdrawn* in order to re-open prosecution and apply a new rejection to claims 5-8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As concerns claims 1 and 5, the phrase "an aperture for fitting within said bore" is vague and indefinite since it is not understood how an "aperture", which is a hole, can conceivably be able to fit within a "bore"...a hole within a hole?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by *Judkins et al* 6,119,757.

· > f

Application/Control Number: 09/903,315 Page 3

Art Unit: 3634

As concerns claims 1-4, Judkins et al set forth a cord and louver assembly (best illustrated in Figures 1, 3, 12, and 15) comprising a pair of ladder cords having vertical cord portions, and a plurality of horizontal cord portions forming ladder openings; a plurality of louvers each having cord openings; a base louver having bores; end plugs fitting within the bores of the base louver; vertical elevation cords extending through the cord openings, the apertures of the plugs and affixed thereto, wherein the vertical elevation cords have lengths greater than that necessary for connection to a channel component and secured by a base louver and end plugs; and a channel assembly.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Judkins in view of Debs 4,487,243*.

Judkins et al set forth the apparatus, as advanced above, and thereby the method of forming, except for attaching the second ends of the cords to respective rotation members of the channel and component assembly. However, *Debs discloses* a blind set that incorporates

Application/Control Number: 09/903,315

Art Unit: 3634

attaching second ends of its cords 20 to respective rotation members 16 of its channel and component assembly. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of forming the blind set of Judkins by incorporating attaching the second ends of the cords to respective rotation members of the

channel and component assembly, as taught by Debs, in order to provide more precise motion

Page 4

control of slats.

Response to Amendment

4. Applicant's remarks filed May 30, 2003, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

As concerns remarks pertaining to the functionality of Judkins, the examiner takes the position that the argument of "functionality" as pertaining to apparatus claims does not hold weight since the structural limitations appear to be met (as advanced above).

Conclusion

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce A. Lev whose telephone number is (703) 308-7470.

Art Unit: 3634

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

June 23, 2003

Bruce A. Lev

Primary Examiner

Group 3600